

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,861		09/30/2003	Tatsuki Wade	SANKY P-242 / 500615.2020	7638	
26418	7590	03/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
REED SM	•	CORDS DEPARTME	WATKO, JULIE ANNE			
		ENUE, 29TH FLOC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YOR			2653			

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/676,861	WADE, TATSUKI		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Julie Anne Watko	2653		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l.  the mailing date of this communication.  35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o				
	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 10/676,861 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

2. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome any rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

# **Drawings**

3. Figures 5A-6B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

- 4. The substitute specification filed February 17, 2004, has been entered.
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/676,861

Art Unit: 2653

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horita et al (US PAP No. 2002/0141323 A1).

As recited in claim 1, Horita et al show an optical head device 10 comprising: a lens holder 14 in which an objective lens 12 and drive coils (including 16 and 18) are mounted; a plurality of elastic support members 26a-d which support said lens holder to move in a focusing direction and a tracking direction; said lens holder 14 having a coil holding portion 14b for holding said drive coils and a lens holding portion 14a which projects from said coil holding portion to the front to hold said objective lens; and said lens holding portion 14a being formed thinner (see Fig. 3) than said coil holding portion 14b to avoid interference with a deflecting element 60 positioned beneath said lens holding portion, having a flat surface, and having first vibration-absorbing member attached to the front end portion thereof.

As recited in claim 1, Horita et al are silent regarding an arc shape from the side portion to the front along the outer circumference of said objective lens.

There is no invention in changing the shape of a known apparatus, absent unexpected results due to the claimed shape, provided that the claimed shape is within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrive at the claimed shape as is notoriously well known in the art. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide an arc shape so

Application/Control Number: 10/676,861

Art Unit: 2653

as to avoid sharp edges that could injure a worker during assembly as is notoriously well known in the art.

As recited in claim 2, in addition to the above teachings, Horita et al are silent regarding whether at least one second vibration-absorbing member being provided at the border between said coil holding portion and said lens holding portion.

Mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960). Furthermore, there is no invention in changing the location of a known part, when the functioning of the apparatus is not changed by the relocation. *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a second vibration-absorbing member in the claimed location. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add a second vibration-absorbing member in the claimed location in order to increase a vibration-absorbing effect as is notoriously well known in the art.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horita et al (US PAP No. 2002/0141323 A1) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Naraoka et al (JP 2005-538062).

Horita et al show a head as described above for claims 1-2.

As recited in claim 3, Horita et al are silent regarding an arc shape from the side portion to the front along the outer circumference of said objective lens.

See teachings, rationale and motivation stated above for claim 1.

As recited in claim 3, Horita et al are silent regarding a first shock-absorbing member attached to the front end portion thereof.

As recited in claim 3, Naraoka et al teach the addition of shock-absorbing members to a head in order to increase reliability.

Furthermore, there is no invention in changing the location of a known part, when the functioning of the apparatus is not changed by the relocation. *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a shock-absorbing member to the claimed location. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to improve apparatus reliability as taught by Naraoka et al and as is notoriously well known in the art.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kudou et al (JP 10-079128 A) show an objective lens actuator with collision damper unit 10 provided to protrude in parallel along a focusing direction.

Nagata (JP 2000-348358 A) shows an optical pickup comprising weight material 12 to prevent unnecessary resonance of a movable part containing the objective lens.

Kamata et al (JP 3-144924 A) show an objective lens driving device for optical pickup comprising balancer 4-1 and both-face adhesive tape 7 to relieve or eliminate high-order resonance.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,861 Page 6

Art Unit: 2653

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Anne Watko whose telephone number is (571) 272-7597. The examiner can normally be reached on T11A-5P W3P-9P Th11:30A-10P F10A-8:30P SatNoon-8:30P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Julie Anne Watko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

March 6, 2006 JAW